IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

FRIDAY, THE 5TH OCTOBER 2007 / 13TH ASWINA 1929

WA.No. 2359 of 2007(U)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WP(C)no.26318/2004 Dated 20/07/2007

APPELLANT: PETITIONER:

GLADSTON GEORGE VARGHESE,
GIE-GEO COTTAGE,
MULAYAKONAM,
POOYAPPALLY.

BY ADV. SRI.MVS.NAMBOOTHIRY

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
   TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
   VELIYAM, ODANAVATTOM P.O., KOLLAM.

3. SRI.MANOJ MATHEW,
   MINI COTTAGE,
   MULAYAKKONAM.

SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.MEERA
BY ADV. SRI.N.SUGATHAN

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/10/2007,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN,J.

W.A. NO. 2359 OF 2007

Dated this the 5th October, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.DATTU, C.J.

The orders passed by the Assistant Educational Officer (Ext.P6) was the subject matter of W.P.(C) No.26318 of 2004. The learned single Judge has rejected the Writ Petition solely on the ground that if, for any reason, the petitioner is aggrieved by Ext.P6 order passed by the Assistant Educational Officer, he has got a right of appeal and right of revision against those orders before the appropriate forum.

2. Admittedly, what was questioned by the petitioner in the Writ Petition is an order passed by the Assistant Educational Officer. If, for any reason, the petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he can always file an appeal and if he fails in that appeal, he can question the said order by way of revision before the State Government. Keeping this aspect of the matter, the learned single Judge, in our opinion, has rightly rejected the Writ Petition. We do not see any error in the orders passed by the learned single Judge. Therefore, the Writ Appeal requires to be rejected and it is accordingly rejected.

Ordered accordingly.

(H.L.DATTU)
Chief Justice

(K.T.SANKARAN)
Judge

ahz/